Contribution from the Department of Chemistry, University of Minnesota, Minneapolis, Minnesota 55455

Intermediates in Assisted-Aquation Reactions of Ligandopentaamminecobalt (111) Complexes

WARREN L. REYNOLDS,* SIAMAK HAFEZI,' ANNE KESSLER, and STEPHEN HOLLY

Received January 26, *1979*

Product ratios from competition reactions accompanying the assisted aquations of $[Co(NH₃)₅(OS(CH₃)₂]³⁺$ by MnO₄⁻ and of $[Co(NH₃)₃]²⁺$ by nitrous acid show that different intermediates produce the final products in the two systems. Product ratios determined under different conditions in aqueous media, in ethanol-water media, in three-way competition studies, and in temperature-dependence studies are more consistent with the formation of the final products by interchange (I_d) processes, rather than by dissociation (D) processes, of the intermediates $[Co(NH_3)_5(O_2S(CH_3)_2)]^{3+}$ and $[Co(NH_3)_5(O_3O_3)(O_4O_4)]^{3+}$ (NH_3) ₅N₄O]³⁺.

Introduction

The evidence obtained from several studies^{2,3} of base hydrolysis of $MX^{2+} (M^{3+} = Co(NH_3)_5^{3+}; X^- = Cl^-$, Br⁻, I⁻, $NO₃$ ⁻, SCN⁻, CH₃SO₃⁻, NO₂C₆H₄SO₃⁻, and CF₃SO₃⁻) in the presence of competing nucleophiles has led to a conclusion that a common five-coordinate intermediate, $[Co(NH₃)₄NH₂]$ ²⁺, was formed in these reactions. However, a recent study⁴ has shown that the postulate of a single five-coordinate intermediate was inadequate to explain the product ratios formed from different starting complexes and that an experimentally significant proportion of the base hydrolysis occurred through an intermediate which still retained a memory of the leaving group. Apparently the conjugate-base form of the starting complex gave products, partially if not entirely, through I_d ,⁵ rather than $D₁⁵$ reactions. In a I_d reaction the leaving group must be present in the activated complex for product formation (since it is the entering group in the reverse reaction), and hence it can influence the product ratios of competition reactions by its presence there. In a D reaction, the leaving group will not be present in the activated complexes leading to the formation of competition-reaction products because the intermediate of a lower coordination number has existed sufficiently long to change the solvation shell or cage in which it was formed. The leaving group, if present in the reaction mixture only by virtue of its displacement from the original reactant, will be unable to influence the product ratios of competition reactions. If the five-coordinate intermediate is so reactive that it must react with components of its solvation shell before the leaving group has escaped from this shell, then the process is by definition⁵ I_d , not D.

The evidence obtained from studies of the assisted aquations of^{6,7} MN_3^2 ⁺ and of⁷ $M(O_2CNH_2)^2$ ⁺ by nitrous acid and of⁷ MX^{2+} (X^- = Cl⁻, Br⁻, I⁻) by Hg(II) has led to the conclusion that a common five-coordinate intermediate **M3+** was formed in all these reactions. 8.9 Thus, when the assisted aquations of these complexes were carried out in nitric and sulfuric acid media, the product ratios $[MNO₃²⁺]/[MOH₂³⁺]$ and $[MSO₄⁺]/[MOH₂³⁺],$ respectively, were very nearly equal for a given acid concentration as they should be for a common intermediate. However, it has been shown¹⁰ that the assisted aquation of $MC1^{2+}$ by Hg(II) in sulfate media proceeded by paths involving Hg²⁺, HgSO₄, and Hg(SO₄)₂²⁻ with intermediates having approximately 0, 15, and 30% efficiencies, respectively, for conversion to $MSO₄⁺$ independent of sulfate concentration. Since the efficiency of conversion of **M3+** (presumably) to **MS04+** in the nitrosation reactions was dependent on the sulfate concentration, the intermediates in the Hg(II)-assisted aquations could not have been M^{3+} . There is some uncertainty in this conclusion because the kinetic studies were not carried out at the same high concentrations as the competition reactions.

Similarly the Hg(II)-assisted aquation of $MC¹²⁺$ in nitrate media proceeded¹¹ by paths involving Hg²⁺ and HgNO₃⁺ with intermediates having approximately 2 and 98% efficiencies, respectively, for conversion to $MNO₃²⁺$ independent of nitrate concentration. Consequently neither intermediate can be the same as the one in the nitrosation reactions.

Assisted-aquation studies of $M(Me_2SO)^{3+}$ (Me₂SO = dimethyl sulfoxide) by $MnO₄$ have provided product ratios approximately equal to those from M_N^3 ²⁺ in chloride, bromide, and nitrate media¹² and led to the conclusion that M^{3+} was also formed as an intermediate in this assisted aquation. However, this conclusion is now in doubt. Here we report further inquiries into the question of the Occurrence and nature of common intermediates in assisted-aquation reactions.

Experimental Section

Reagents. The substrates $[Co(NH_3)_5N_3](ClO_4)_2$,¹³ $[Co(NH_3)_5$ - $(Me_2SO)[ClO_4)_3$ ¹⁴ and $[Co(NH_3)_5Cl](ClO_4)_2^{15}$ were prepared by literature methods. The bromo-,¹⁶ nitrato-,¹⁷ sulfato-,¹⁸ phosphato-,¹⁹ aquo-,²⁰ acetonitrile-,¹² and (*N*,*N*-dimethylformamide)pentaamminecobalt $(III)^{21}$ complexes were prepared by literature methods and converted to perchlorate salts by repeated recrystallization from aqueous perchlorate media. The observed λ_{max} (nm) and molar extinction coefficients $(M^{-1} cm^{-1})$ of the ten compounds respectively were 518 (266), 515 (62.3), 532 (50.4), 550 (57.7), 500 (57.4), 515 61.0), 519.5 (51.6), 490 (48.0), 465 (61.0), and 505 (80.0), all in very good agreement with literature values. In addition the value of 45.9 M^{-1} cm⁻¹ was used for the aquo complex at 508.5 nm, the isosbestic point for the aquo and chloro complexes, to determine whether or not any aquo complex had been converted to the chloro complex during elution with 4 M HC1; no measureable conversion was ever detected. All other chemicals were reagent grade and were used without

further purification. Deionized water was used in all solutions.

Competition Reaction Product Ratios. A known amount, usually 0.1-0.15 mmol, of the substrate was placed in a reaction vessel, known volumes of stock solutions were added to give the desired reaction medium, and the solution was brought to the desire temperature within 15 min with stirring (in the absence of light in the case of the azido complex). To start the assisted aquations, we added a known amount (twofold excess) of solid NaNO_2 to the reaction mixture in the case of the azido substrate or known volumes of standard $KMnO₄$ or $Hg(CIO_4)_2$ solutions in the case of the Me₂SO and chloro substrates, respectively. When the $M(Me₂SO)³⁺$ reaction was complete, a small excess of $\text{NaNO}_2(\text{s})$ was added to reduce excess MnO_4^- to Mn(II) . All final reaction mixtures were poured onto ice to quench all substitution reactions, diluted with ice water to reduce the ionic strength, and passed through a column of AG50W-X4, 200-400 mesh, resin. The column was thoroughly washed with water. The adsorbed products were eluted by using 1 M HClO_4 for $1+$ complexes, 2 M $HClO₄$ to separate 2+ and 3+ complexes and then 3 M HClO₄ for the final stage, and 4 M HCl for $MOH₂³⁺$. The acetonitrile and aquo complexes were separated by using 3 M $HClO₄$ to elute the former and 4 M HCl to elute the latter. The dimethylformamide and aquo complexes were separated by using 2 and 4 M HCI to elute the latter followed by 3 M $HClO₄$ to elute the former. The eluted products were collected in an ice bath, brought to room temperature, diluted to volume, and determined spectrophotometrically.

Results

The products of the assisted aquations of MN_3^{2+} and $M(Me₂SO)³⁺$ in chloride, nitrate, sulfate, and phosphate media

Table I. Comparison of the Products Formed in the Assisted Aquations of MN₃²⁺ and M(Me₂SO)³⁺ in Aqueous Media at 25 °C and Constant Ionic Strength: Slopes and Intercepts of the Plots of the Product Ratio $[MX^{(3-n)^+}]/[MOH_2^{3+}]$ against Acid Concentration

complex	[HX]/M	[HX] range/M	μ^a/M	slope	intercept	
$MN, 2+$	Cl^-	$0.2 - 1.0$	1.0	0.246 ± 0.009	-0.015 ± 0.005	
$M(Me, SO)^{3+}$	C1-	$0.2 - 1.0$	1.0	0.179 ± 0.005	-0.026 ± 0.004	
$MN, 2+$	NQ_3	$0.4 - 1.0$	1.0	0.477 ± 0.010	$+0.015 \pm 0.008$	
$M(Me, SO)^{3+}$	NO ₃	$0.4 - 1.0$	1.0	0.517 ± 0.014	-0.037 ± 0.011	
$MN, 2+$	HSO _a	$0.8 - 2.0$	2.0	0.251 ± 0.008	-0.058 ± 0.011	
$M(Me, SO)^{3+}$	HSO _a	$0.8 - 2.0$	2.0	0.101 ± 0.009	-0.026 ± 0.013	
$MN, ^{2+}$	H_2PO_4	$0.8 - 2.0$	2.0	0.078 ± 0.009	-0.044 ± 0.014	
$M(Me, SO)3+$	H_3PO_4	$1.2 - 2.0$	2.0	0.037 ± 0.003	-0.022 ± 0.006	

 α HClO₄ used to maintain constant μ .

Table **11.** Comparison of the Products Formed in the Assisted Aquations of MN_3^2 ⁺ and $M(Me_2SO)^3$ ⁺ in Aqueous Media at 25 °C and Variable Ionic Strength: Slopes and Intercepts *of* the Plots of the Product Ratio against Acid Concentration

complex	reacn medium ^a	slope	intercept
MN_3^2 $M(Me, SO)^{3+}$ MN_{2} ²⁺ $M(Me, SO)^{3+}$ $MN3$ ²⁺ $M(Me, SO)^{3+}$ MN_3 ²⁺	$0.2 - 1.0$ M HCl $0.2 - 1.5$ M HCl $0.2 - 1.0 M HNO$, $0.2 - 1.0$ M HNO ₂ $0.2 - 4.0 M H, SOa$ $0.5 - 2.0 M H, SOa$ 1.0-2.0 M H_2PO_4		$0.178 \pm 0.005 + 0.051 \pm 0.003$ $0.114 \pm 0.017 + 0.043 \pm 0.016$ $0.400 \pm 0.011 + 0.092 \pm 0.007$ $0.413 \pm 0.012 + 0.070 \pm 0.006$ $0.194 \pm 0.005 + 0.075 \pm 0.010$ $0.091 \pm 0.005 - 0.002 \pm 0.010$ $0.048 \pm 0.002 + 0.024 \pm 0.010$
$M(Me, SO)^{3+}$	1.0-2.0 M H_2PO_4		$0.017 \pm 0.002 + 0.019 \pm 0.010$

a Ionic strength set by the acid dnd cobalt(II1) complex; no electrolyte added to maintain constant ionic strength during variation of acid concentration.

at 25 \degree C and constant ionic strength, μ , were determined as a function of the concentration of the nucleophile competing with H₂O for the coordination site initially occupied by N_3 ⁻ or Me₂SO. Plots of the product ratio, $P = [MX^{(3-n)+}]/$ $[MOH₂³⁺]$, against nucleophile concentration were linear over the ranges employed. The slope, intercept, and standard deviations obtained by a least-squares treatment of the data for each plot are given in Tables I and I1 for constant and variable μ , respectively. For both 1.0 M HCl and 1.0 M HNO₃ at $\mu = 1.0$ M, the results given in Tables I and II are in excellent agreement with the one-point values of the quotient R (= $[MX^{2+}]/[MOH₂³⁺][X⁻]$) previously reported for the substrates MN_3^{2+7} and $M(Me_2SO)^{3+1/2}$ The nearly equal values for *R* for the two substrates in the two named media have previously been considered¹² evidence that the same intermediate, namely, M^{3+} , was formed from both substrates. However, use of the linear relationships given in the tables shows that, except for 1.0 M HNO₃, the product ratios from $M(Me₂SO)³⁺$ are smaller than those from $MN₃²⁺$, especially for sulfuric and phosphoric acids. Therefore, we conclude that the two substrates do not form the same intermediate from which the products are produced. We propose that two intermediates $MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+}$ and $M(O_2S(CH_3)_2)^{3+}$ are formed and react by dissociative interchange (I_d) processes with the incoming nucleophiles H_2O and X^{n-} to form the products as shown in reactions $1-3$ and $4-6$. The product ratio P is given ³¹⁴ are smaller than those from MN_3^{2+} ,
and phosphoric acids. Therefore, we constrates do not form the same intermee
products are produced. We propose th
MN₃.NO³⁺ and M(O₂S(CH₃₎₂)³⁺ are fi
ssociative interc

$$
MN_3^{2+} + NO^+ \xrightarrow[slow]{relatively} MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+} \tag{1}
$$

$$
MN_{3} \cdot NO^{3+} + H_{2}O \stackrel{k_{w}}{\longrightarrow} MOH_{2}^{3+} + N_{2} + N_{2}O \qquad (2)
$$

$$
MN_{3} \cdot NO^{3+} + X^{n-} \stackrel{k_{x}}{\longrightarrow} MX^{(3-n)+} + N_{2} + N_{2}O \qquad (3)
$$

$$
MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+} + X^{n-} \xrightarrow{\alpha_X} MX^{(3-n)+} + N_2 + N_2O
$$
 (3) relatively

$$
M(OS(CH_3)_2)^{3+} + MnO_4^-
$$

$$
M(O_2S(CH_3)_2)^{3+} + Mn(V) (4)
$$

$$
M(O_2S(CH_3)_2)^{3+} + H_2O \xrightarrow{\kappa_w} MOH_2^{3+} + (CH_3)_2SO_2
$$
 (5)

$$
M(O_2S(CH_3)_2)^{3+} + X^{n-} \xrightarrow{w} MX^{(3-n)+} + (CH_3)_2SO_2
$$
 (6)

by $P = k_x[X^{n-1}/k_w$ for $MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+}$ and by $P = k_w'[X^{n-1}/k_w]$ for $M(O_2S(CH_3)_2)^{3+}$, where the rate constants are for the interchange processes. The existence of $MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+}$ has been postulated⁶ previously. There is good evidence^{22,23} to support the existence of NON₃ at temperatures of -50 $^{\circ}$ C and below; coordination of N_3^- to cobalt(III) stabilizes the NON₃ electron configuration (A) as compared to uncoordinated $NON₃$ (B)

$$
Co \leftarrow N = N = N \rightarrow N = O;
$$

A
:
$$
N = N = N \rightarrow N = O;
$$

B

so far as a shift to product electron configuration (C) is

: $N=N: + :N=N=0:$ C

concerned. It is quite possible that MN_4O^{3+} can survive sufficiently long to meet and react with different nucleophiles in an I_d interchange of leaving and entering ligands.

The existence of the dimethyl sulfone complex in the $M(Me_2SO)^{3+}$ + MnO₄⁻ reaction has also been postulated previously.²⁴ Although dimethyl sulfone is a very poor ligand, the complex may exist sufficiently long so as to react with nucleophiles in an I_d interchange of leaving and entering ligands.

If the two proposed intermediates determine the product formation, the larger percentages of sulfato and phosphato complexes for MN_4O^{3+} as compared to $M(O_2S(CH_3)_2)^{3+}$ can be explained in terms of hydrogen-bond formation between lone-pair electrons on N atoms and the incoming ligands $HSO₄$ and $H₂PO₄$ (or $H₃PO₄$) positioning the incoming ligand more favorably for competition for the site vacated in the interchange reaction.

The data in Table III show that the quotient R^6 is essentially independent of ionic strength. This result is in agreement with the linear free energy plot of $Langford²⁵$ which showed that the role of the anion in the activated complexes for I_d unassisted aquation and anation of ligandopentaamminecobalt(II1) complexes is very similar to its role in the bulksolvent phase. If, likewise, the role of $NO₃$ in the activated complex of the MN_4O^{3+} + NO_3^- interchange (I_d) reaction is very similar to its role as a free ion, then the activity coefficients of both reactants in the activated complex can be essentially equal to those for the separated reactants because departure of the neutral grouping $N₃NO$ does not change the charge on the complex.

The data in Table I11 also show that the quotient *R* is independent of $NaNO₃$ concentration up to at least 3.0 M. It is concluded that the **3+** intermediate (whether M3+ or MN_4O^{3+}) does not survive sufficiently long in solution to establish equilibrium with respect to ion-pair formation; if it did, one would expect saturation effects to be apparent because anation reactions between **3+** cations and 1- anions show marked saturation effects with respect to ion-pair formation

Table III. Dependence of R for $NO₃⁻$ on Ionic Strength and NaNO₃ Concentration in the MN₃²⁺-Assisted Aquation (0.050 **M** HClO,, 25 "C)

[NaNO ₃]/M	μ^a/M	% $MNO3$ ²⁺	R	
0.500	1.0	14.2	0.33	
1.00	1.05	26.8	0.37	
0.500	2.0	15.1	0.36	
1.00	2.0	24.9	0.33	
2.00	2.05	43.3	0.38	
0.500	3.0	14.8	0.35	
1.00	3.0	24.2	0.32	
3.00	3.05	53.3	0.37	
0.500	4.0	14.4	0.34	
1.00	4.0	25.8	0.35	
2.00	4.0	40.2	0.33	
3.00	4.0	50.4	0.34	

a NaC10, used to maintain constant ionic strength.

at anion concentration^^^^^^ of *0.3-0.5* M. Further, if formation of $MNO₃²⁺$ occurred through an equilibrium concentration of such ion pairs, the large value of the percentage $MNO₃²⁺$ being formed at *3.00* M NaNO, *(50-53%)* would require that the same percentage of the intermediate be ion paired if every ion pair resulted in one $MNO₃²⁺$ being formed. However, it is unlikely that every ion pair would do so; some ion pairs would form $MOH₂³⁺$ instead. Hence a much larger percentage of ion pairing would be required to account for the observed production of $MNO₃²⁺$, and saturation effects would most certainly be apparent at the larger $NaNO₃$ concentrations used. Indeed, if the competition ratio for NO_3^- and H_2O within the ion pair was as improbably large as 1:1, the intermediate would have to be 100% ion paired. And if the competition ratio was smaller than this (i.e., NO_3^- entering once for every two H_2O groups entering), the intermediate would have to be more than 100% ion paired. Langford and Muir26 have shown that the competition ratio for anions and water molecules in the solvent cage surrounding the Co- (NH_3) ₅³⁺ moiety in an ion-paired activated complex is much smaller than $1:1$.

Table I of the supplementary material shows the effect of ethanol addition. The modest increase in the $MNO₃²⁺$ production in the MN_3^{2+} + HNO₂ system could be due to decreased water content or to an increased equilibrium concentration of ion pairs; however, the arguments given immediately above militate against formation of products through an equilibrium population of ion pairs. The larger increases in MNO_3^{2+} production in the MC1^{2+} + Hg(II) system can be ascribed to increased $HgNO₃⁺$ formation bringing NO_3^- to the reaction site since $HgNO_3^+$ converts MCI^{2+} to $MNO₃²⁺$ with ca. 98% efficiency.¹¹ Increase of Hg(II) concentration at certain $NaNO₃$ and Hg(II) concentrations listed in the table decreased the percentage of $MNO₃²⁺$ formed in the $MN₃²⁺ + HNO₂$ system by complexing NO_3^- and in the $MCl^{2+} + Hg(II)$ system by increasing the fraction of the reaction proceeding through the intermediate MClHg⁴⁺ which produces only ca. 2% ¹¹ of MNO₃²⁺. Addition of ethanol also increased the percentage of MC12+ formed in the MN_3^{2+} + HNO₂ system, and this increase was the same whether $HCIO_4$, NaClO₄, Mg(ClO₄)₂, or Al(ClO₄)₃ was used to maintain constant ionic strength. Since variation of $ClO₄$ concentration did not measurably affect the product ratio, competition by $CIO₄$ with subsequent high-efficiency aquation²⁸ of MOClO₃²⁺ to MOH₂³⁺ was not important in these media. However, the negative intercepts reported in Table I can be due to ClO_4^- competition. At constant ionic strength and small $X^{\prime\prime}$ concentration the ClO₄⁻ concentration is greater than at large **X"-** concentration. Consequently competition by $ClO₄$ to form MOClO₄²⁺ followed by highefficiency conversion to $MOH₂³⁺$ results in smaller product ratios at smaller $X^{\prime\prime}$ concentrations than would be formed in

the absence of $ClO₄⁻$ and negative intercepts for plots of product ratio vs. $X^{\prime\prime}$ concentration. In Table II the intercepts are slightly positive or zero within experimental error in the absence of $ClO₄$.

The results given in Table I1 of the supplementary material The results given in Table II of the supplementary material
for three-way competition between water, NO_3^- , and SO_4^{2-}
in the $MN_3^2 + HNO_2$ system, shown in reactions 7–9, show
 $MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+} + H_2O \xrightarrow{k_{NO_3^-}} MOH_2^{3+} + N_2 + N$ in the MN_3^{2+} + HNO₂ system, shown in reactions 7–9, show

$$
MN_{3} \cdot NO^{3+} + H_{2}O \xrightarrow{k_{w}} MOH_{2}^{3+} + N_{2} + N_{2}O \quad (7)
$$

\n
$$
MN_{3} \cdot NO^{3+} + NO_{3}^{-} \xrightarrow{k_{NO_{3}^{-}}} MNO_{3}^{2+} + N_{2} + N_{2}O \quad (8)
$$

\n
$$
MN_{3} \cdot NO^{3+} + SO_{4}^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_{SO_{4}^{2+}}} MSO_{4}^{+} + N_{2} + N_{2}O \quad (9)
$$

\nthat at constant ionic strength and NaNO₃ concentration the

$$
MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+} + NO_3^- \xrightarrow{\text{ANO}_3^-} MNO_3^{2+} + N_2 + N_2O \quad (8)
$$

$$
MN_3 \cdot NO^{3+} + SO_4^{2-} \xrightarrow{k_{SO4}^2} MSO_4^+ + N_2 + N_2O \quad (9)
$$

value of $R_{\text{SO}_4}^2$ = $\frac{[\text{MSO}_4^+]}{[\text{MOH}_2^{3+}][\text{SO}_4^{2-}]}$) is independent of SO_4^2 - concentration; for the same conditions, the value of $R_{\text{NO}_3^-}$ (= [MNO₃²⁺]/[MOH₂³⁺][NO₃⁻]) remains constant or, at most, decreases only very slightly. The results also show that at constant ionic strength and constant SO_4^2 ⁻ concentration R_{NO_3} is independent of NO_3 ⁻ concentration as is R_{SO_4} ²⁻ within experimental error. Hence, the results once again suggest that the products are not formed from an equilibrium concentration of ion pairs or increasing **S042-** could displace $NO₃$ ⁻ from ion pairs and vice versa. The intermediate forming the products reacts with NO_3^- and SO_4^{2-} ions in proportion to their relative populations in the solution. Similar results were obtained for the $M(Me_2SO)^{3+} + MnO_4^-$ system where the intermediate is different from the M^{3+} intermediate presumed to exist in the MN_3^{2+} + HNO_2 system. In both systems the amounts of $MNO₃²⁺$ formed were equal within expeimental error for equal NO_3^- concentrations, but the amount of $MSO₄⁺$ formed from $M(Me₂SO)³⁺$ was always markedly smaller than was formed from MN_3^{2+} . Thus, the intermediate for which H_2O , NO_3^- , and SO_4^{2-} simultaneously competed in the $MN_3^{2+} + MNO_2$ system gave the same percentage of $MNO₃²⁺$ as, but a different percentage of $MSO₄⁺$ than, the intermediate for which the same three nucleophiles competed in the $M(Me_2SO)^{3+} + MnO_4$ system. This is strong evidence against the argument that both substrates yield equal amounts of $MNO₃²⁺$ in nitrate media because in these media alone both form M^{3+} . The parallel sets of results from both substrates (with equal MNO_3^{2+} percentages but unequal MS04+ percentages) suggest similar competition reactions in both systems; these could be the nucleophiles reacting with the intermediates MN_4O^{3+} and $M(O_2S(CH_3)_2)^{3+}$ by interchange of leaving neutral groups and incoming nucleophile.

Table IV shows the results of the study of the temperature dependence of the products formed for a number of charged and uncharged nucleophiles competing with water in the assisted substitution reactions of MN_3^{2+} and $M(Me_2SO)^{3+}$. Temperatures as high as $60-65$ °C frequently caused significant decomposition of the cobalt(II1) complexes so the temperature range was limited to 45 °C and below. Except for NO_3^- , no temperature dependence was found within the experimental errors. Hence, the reactions forming the products (except $MNO₃²⁺$) from the intermediate for a given substrate all have the same activation enthalpy. If there is appreciable interaction between the cobalt(II1) center and the incoming ligands in the activated complexes, it is improbable that this interaction would be the same throughout the range of ligands used; it is more probable that there is very little interaction. Furthermore, because all ligands (except $NO₃⁻$) listed in Table IV for a given substrate have the same activation enthalpy but very different solvation energies for the bulk phase, it is very probable that little desolvation of the ligand occurs during formation of the activated complex. This result is in agreement with the lack of significant ionic strength effect on the per-

a Indicated error is the standard deviation of a single measurement. **b** Mole fraction.

centages of products formed. The small temperature dependence for NO_3^- as a ligand shows that there is a smaller $(2-3 \text{ kcal mol}^{-1})$ activation enthalpy for $MNO₃²⁺$ than for $MOH₂³⁺$ formation, in line with the observation⁸ that $NO₃$ seems to be more "extractable" from water than other nucleophiles examined. Since the other nucleophiles apparently undergo little change of solvation in forming the activated complex, the lower activation enthalpy for $NO₃^-$ cannot arise from a smaller desolvation enthalpy contribution but can arise from interaction with the cobalt(II1) center; that is, the interchange reaction for NO_3^- has a small $Co^{III}-ONO_2^-$ bonding energy in the activated complex.

The data of Table IV also provide evidence against the existence of M^{3+} in the assisted substitution reactions examined. Suppose that M^{3+} is formed from MN_1^{2+} but not from MCI^{2+} or $M(Me₂SO)³⁺$ because the N₄O grouping is a much poorer ligand than ClHg⁺ or than dimethyl sulfone; N_4O needs no assistance to leave its coordination site but ClHg⁺ and dimethyl sulfone do. Interaction between cobalt(II1) and the incoming nucleophile can assist the latter two ligands to leave via interchage reactions; such interaction means partial bond formation. For the range of ligands given in Table IV for $M(Me_2SO)^3$ ⁺, it is doubtful that each ligand (except NO_3^-) would form a bond of exactly the same strength as that formed by H_2O so that the product ratio would be independent of temperature. We conclude that it is more likely that the assisted-substitution reactions studied differ in their product ratios because unstable intermediates with different leaving groups form the competition products via I_d reactions rather than via D reactions producing the five-coordinate $Co(NH_3)_{5}^{3+}$ intermediate.

Acknowledgment. A.K. wishes to thank the Lando Fellowship Fund of the University of Minnesota. S.H. wishes to thank the Chemistry Department for Directed Study funds.

Registry No. MN_3^{2+} , 14403-83-9; $M(Me_2SO)^{3+}$, 44915-85-7; $MOH₂³⁺, 14403-82-8; MC²⁺, 14970-14-0; MB²⁺, 14970-15-1;$ MNO_3^{2+} , 15077-47-1; MHSO_4^{2+} , 15156-26-0; $\text{MH}_3\text{PO}_4^{3+}$, 71277-76-4; MCH₃CN³⁺, 44819-13-8; MMe₂Fam³⁺, 31125-61-8; Hg(II), 14302-87-5; HNO₂, 7782-77-6; MnO₄⁻, 14333-13-2.

Supplementary Material Available: Tables showing the results of the ethanol-water and three-way competition studies **(2** pages). Ordering inforamtion is given on any current masthead page.

References and Notes

- (1) Abstracted in **part** from the thesis submitted to the University of Minnesota by S.H. in partial fulfillment of the requirements for the Ph.D. degree.
- (2) D. A. Buckingham, I. I. Olsen, and A. M. Sargeson, *J. Am. Chem.* Soc., 88, 5443 (1966); 89, 5129 (1967); 90, 6539,6654 (1968).
- (3) D. **A.** Buckingham, I. I. Creaser, and A. M. Sargeson, *Inorg. Chem.,* 9, 655 (1970).
-
- (4) W. L. Reynolds and S. Hafezi, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17, 1819 (1978).
(5) For definitions of I_d and D reactions see C. H. Langford and H. B. Gray,
"Ligand Substitution Processes", W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1974. "Ligand Substitution Processes", W. A. Benjamin, Reading, Mass., 1974.
A. Haim and H. Taube, *Inorg. Chem.*, 2, 1199 (1963).
- (7) D. A. Buckingham, I. I. Olsen, A. M. Sargeson, and H. Satrapa, *Inorg. Chem.,* 6, 1027 (1967).
-
- (8) A. M. Sargeson, *Pure Appl. Chem.*, 33, 527 (1973).
(9) W. G. Jackson and A. M. Sargeson, *Inorg. Chem.*, 15, 1986 (1976).
(10) F. A. Posey and H. Taube, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 79, 255 (1957).
-
-
-
- (11) W. L. Reynolds and E. R. Alton, *Inorg. Chem.*, 17, 3355 (1978).
(12) W. L. Reynolds, *Inorg. Chem.*, 14, 680 (1975).
(13) M. Linhard and H. Flygare, Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem., 262, 328 (1950);
M. C. Moore and R. N. Kelle
-
-
-
-
- C. R. P. Mac-Coll and L. Beyer, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12, 7 (1973).
G. G. Schlessinger, *Inorg. Synth.*, 9, 160 (1967).
H. Diehl, H. Clark, and H. Willard, *Inorg. Synth.*, 1, 186 (1939).
F. Basolo and R. K. Murmann, *Inorg. Sy*
	-
- and H. Taube, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 86, 1318 (1964).
-
-
-
- (21) E. S. Gould, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 87, 4730 (1965).
(22) H. W. Lucien, J. Am. Chem. Soc., 80, 4458 (1958).
(23) G. Stedman, J. Chem. Soc., 2943 (1959).
(24) L. A. de Oliveira, H. E. Toma, and E. Giesbrecht, *Inorg. Nucl. Lett.,* 12, 195 (1976).
-
-
-
- (25) C. H. Langford, *Inorg. Chem.*, 4, 265 (1965).
(26) C. H. Langford and W. R. Muir, *J. Am. Chem. Soc.*, 89, 3141 (1967).
(27) E. C. Barber and W. L. Reynolds, *Inorg. Chem.*, 12, 951 (1973).
(28) J. MacB. Harrowfield, *Inorg. Chem.,* 14, 2864 (1975).